

Kansas Judge Burmaster Set to Stand Trial Against Pro Se Fathers Lawsuit & Claims of Destroyed Daughters Companionship

Judge Accused of Manipulating and Creating a Form of Domestic Violence From the Bench and the Dad Demands Accountability on Judge for Destroying the Companionship With His Daughters



documents, **District Judge Burmaster** has been accused of manipulating domestic violence protection orders in a biased manner that favors female petitioners in her courtroom. The judge allegedly exhibited prejudice against a Kansas pro se father in one particular case, to such an extreme extent that it resulted in unlawful detentions, unjustified findings of contempt of court, and the removal of the father's child custody rights. These actions taken by Judge Burmaster prompted the father to file two separate federal civil rights lawsuits against the judge in United States District Court for the District of Kansas, under the name **Escalante Vs Burmaster**. [2:23-CV02471](#) and [2:23-CV02559](#). Both lawsuits progressing and the first has a schedule conference on January 24, 2023 and a trial begins. The lawsuits center predominantly on an 18 month period of time beginning July 08, 2023, in which its alleged a fraud protective order was placed by Burmaster and procured from opposing counsel **Chris T Wilson**.

Further, in December 2023, criminal charges that had been filed against the father for allegedly violating a fraudulent protection order were suspended. It is believed by the plaintiff that the judge was attempting to keep the father incarcerated in retaliation for bringing attention to her improper handling of such cases and biased decision-making through the whistleblowing lawsuits. If the allegations made in the court filings are substantiated, they portray a serious abuse of judicial authority and violation of the father's civil rights to an impartial trial and due process under the law. Additionally and separately, two men's criminal matters stemming from purported violations of Judge Burmaster's civil protection orders have stalled – one since March 2022 – due to questions surrounding the judge's issuance of orders in those underlying cases. Concerns of gender bias, fraud procurement of protective order petitions, unlawful retaliation, and improper judicial conduct remain ongoing regarding Judge Burmaster's handling of domestic violence protection order cases in his Kansas courtroom. The Kansas father Knoche, is almost a year and half under a claimed false criminal violation of a protective order and that can be seen as questionable in bad faith from prosecution with the failure to prosecute the father over now. 18 months straight. His case is riddled with bad candor by prosecutors and it was initiated by a Burmaster civil order. Docs in the criminal case state the exchanging of ex parte evidence during scheduling conferences was occurring by the prosecutors.

The lawsuits aim to hold Judge Burmaster accountable for his reported manipulation of protection order procedures that stripped a father of his legal rights as parental retaliation for challenging his misconduct authority. The outcome of these civil cases could set an important precedent regarding the impartial administration of justice and protection from retaliation for those who lawfully expose potential judicial misconduct. Both the integrity of the courts and the civil liberties of those involved in family law cases may hinge on the resolution of Escalante Vs Burmaster.

Video link from a ProPublica Judge Investigatory Series pertaining to Burmaster:

[ProPublica Investigatory Series - Judge Paul W. Burmaster](#)

Media contact:

RS Missouri Media

ACADEMIA 11/01/2023

CASE RECORD DOC#6 ALLEGING A FELONY 18 USC 242 VIOLATION BY KANSAS DISTRICT FAMILY COURT JUDGE BURMASTER

The original paper contains 1 sections, with 1 passages identified by our machine learning algorithms as central to this paper.

Paper Summary

SUMMARY PASSAGE 1

Section 1

3) Kansas Case Law of KSA 20-1204a, has many notable cases in history that set the precedent for this situation regarding if Defendant had proper jurisdiction or not to be able to legally subpoena the Plaintiff ultimately on April 27, 2023 into Div 14, Case 18CV03813 indirect contempt proceeding, Johnson County, et 1. Contempt proceedings founded in statute must follow prescribed procedure and be strictly construed against removal.

Escalante v. Burmaster

Case overview

Parties			
Case Number: 2:23-cv-02471	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Plaintiff Matthew Aaron Escalante</td> <td>Represented by: Matthew Aaron Escalante</td> </tr> </table>	Plaintiff Matthew Aaron Escalante	Represented by: Matthew Aaron Escalante
Plaintiff Matthew Aaron Escalante	Represented by: Matthew Aaron Escalante		
Court: Kansas	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Defendant Paul William Burmaster</td> <td>Represented by: Christopher Altman, Office of United States Attorney - KCS</td> </tr> </table>	Defendant Paul William Burmaster	Represented by: Christopher Altman, Office of United States Attorney - KCS
Defendant Paul William Burmaster	Represented by: Christopher Altman, Office of United States Attorney - KCS		
Nature of Suit: Civil Rights: Other	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Interested Party United States of America</td> <td>Represented by: Christopher Altman, Office of United States Attorney - KCS</td> </tr> </table>	Interested Party United States of America	Represented by: Christopher Altman, Office of United States Attorney - KCS
Interested Party United States of America	Represented by: Christopher Altman, Office of United States Attorney - KCS		
Cause 42:1983 Civil Rights Act			

Document added in Johnson County District Courts

Case# 23CV06700 ESCALANTE vs BURMASTER has been updated with a document with the following ROA entry:

FILE STAMP 12/29/2023, EMERGENCY EXPARTE PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS & DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE RELIEF PURSUANT KSA 60-801

Please do not reply to this e-mail as it has been automatically generated. If you have questions about this case, please contact the Johnson County Clerk of the District Court at [\(913\) 715-3515](tel:9137153515)

IN THE JOHNSON COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
CIVIL DIVISION

Case No. 23CV06700

MATTHEW ESCALANTE
Petitioner

VS.

PAUL WILL BURMASTER
in his official capacity as district judge
JANELLE LEIGH ESCALANTE
Respondent

Div 8

**AMENDED
EMERGENCY EXPARTE PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS
& DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE RELIEF PURSUANT KSA 60-801**

COMES NOW, The Petitioner, as his own counsel, hereby demands to the Johnson County District Court Chief Judge Charles Dooge, pursuant KSA 60-801, and the Kansas Constitution for an issuance of Preemptive Order precluding District Judge Paul Will Burmaster from enforcing the Extended Order of Protection from Stalking issued on Aug. 10, 2023 in JoCo Case 22CV03391, KSA 60-802. *Procedure for relief. (a) Relief in the form of mandamus shall be obtained under the same procedure as relief in other civil actions. If it is desired in connection with any mandamus proceeding to stay any proceedings or act pending determination of the mandamus proceeding, the plaintiff may combine therewith an application for relief under article 9 of this chapter. The judgment in mandamus shall specify with particularity the act or acts which the defendant is compelled to perform or enjoined from performing. (b) Peremptory order. When the right to require the performance of the act is clear, and it is apparent that no valid excuse can be given for not performing it, a peremptory order of mandamus may be allowed in the first instance.*

The Petitioner, alienated father, shows unto the Chief Judge, the misconduct actions of District Judge Burmaster against KSA 60-3107, Notice of Protection Orders and also against KSA 60-312 Proof of Service, which has resulted in an Extended Order Protection from Stalking left to LIE upon the district court, and improperly served as defendant to the Gardner Police Dept and Petitioner on Aug. 10, 2023. (Exhibit A) The order is facially invalid, and lacking its needed Attachment (which contains the YAWA cert/text and enforcement ability. 22CV03391 District judge misconduct is seen by presiding judge Burmaster on Oct 18, 2023 in a civil custody hearing in which Burmaster reaffirms what the Petitioner had been stating to 18USC2265:

1) This Emergency ExParte Petition for Writ of Mandamus MUST be docketed as Chief Justice Marla Luckert, approximately 90 days ago instructed the Petitioner to place this Writ in the hands of the Johnson County District Court for relief filed there. This from Honorable Luckert's Kansas Supreme Court Order in Docket 126-832.

2) A preemptive order is immediately needed over 22CV03391, as Writ Petitioner shows that he has right to require this to be line with KSA 60-3107, KSA 60-312, as the Burmaster performance of the deficient serving of an extended order of PES act is clear and it is apparent that no valid excuse can be given for not performing it, a peremptory order of mandamus may be allowed in the first instance

Media Contact

Missouri Media

editorialinbox@riversidemissourimedia.online

Source : <https://express-press-release.net/news/2024/01/02/1517928>

[See on IssueWire](#)